

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

LIONRA TECHNOLOGIES LTD.,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

*Defendant.*

Civil Action No. 2:24-CV-00097-JRG

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**DEFENDANT CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.’S UNOPPOSED MOTION  
FOR HEARING ON MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION  
OF *INTER PARTES* REVIEW FOR ALL ASSERTED CLAIMS (DKT. NO. 37)**

Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Defendant”) respectfully moves for a hearing on April 16, 2025, on Defendant’s Motion to Stay Pending Resolution of *Inter Partes* Review for All Asserted Claims (“Motion to Stay”) (Dkt. No. 37) and would show the Court as follows:

Plaintiff Lionra Technologies Ltd. (“Plaintiff”) filed Plaintiff’s Response in Opposition to Defendant’s Motion to Stay (Dkt. No. 46) on April 1, 2025. Defendant filed its Reply in Support of Motion to Stay (Dkt. No. 48) on April 7, 2025. The briefing on Defendant’s Motion to Stay will be complete on or before April 14, 2025. As the Court is aware, the Court set the *Markman* hearing in this matter for April 16, 2025, at 1:30 P.M. *See* Dkt. No. 32 (“NOTICE of Hearing: *Markman* Hearing set for 4/16/2025 at 1:30 PM...”). At this time, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court hear oral argument on Defendant’s Motion to Stay at the *Markman* hearing currently set for April 16, 2025.

Defendant’s Motion to Stay is important, and oral argument should aid the Court in resolving any legal or factual issues related to the Motion to Stay. Moreover, consolidating the

*Markman* hearing and the hearing on Defendant's Motion to Stay will promote judicial efficiency as it will limit the expenditure of the parties' and the Court's limited judicial resources.

Counsel for Defendant met and conferred with counsel for Plaintiff regarding this Motion. Counsel for Plaintiff indicated they do not believe oral argument is necessary and the issue can be decided on the briefs but do not oppose the request.

Accordingly, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court grant this Unopposed Motion and enter an order setting Defendant's Motion to Stay for hearing on April 16, 2025.

Dated: April 8, 2025

*/s/ Brian A. Rosenthal*

---

Brian A. Rosenthal (lead attorney)  
broenthal@gibsondunn.com  
New York State Bar No. 3961380  
Katherine Dominguez  
kdominguez@gibsondunn.com  
New York State Bar No. 4741237  
Sanjay Nevrekar  
snevrekar@gibsondunn.com  
New York State Bar No. 5933387  
**GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP**  
200 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10166-0193  
Telephone: 212.351.4000

Christine Ranney  
cranney@gibsondunn.com  
Colorado State Bar No. 55398  
**GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP**  
1801 California Street, Suite 4200  
Denver, CO 80202-2642  
Telephone: 303.298.5700

Albert Suarez IV  
asuarez@gibsondunn.com  
Texas State Bar No. 24113094  
Monica Lin  
mlin2@gibsondunn.com  
Texas State Bar No. 24141113  
**GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP**  
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2100  
Dallas, TX 75201-6912  
Telephone: 214.698.3360

Melissa R. Smith  
melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com  
Texas State Bar No. 24001351  
**GILLAM & SMITH, LLP**  
303 South Washington Avenue  
Marshall, TX 75670-4157  
Telephone: 903.934.8450

*Attorneys for Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc*

**CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that counsel for Defendant met and conferred with counsel for Plaintiff to discuss the substantive issues addressed in this Motion pursuant to Local Rule CV-7(h). Counsel for Plaintiff indicated that Plaintiff is unopposed to the relief sought in this Motion.

*/s/ Melissa R. Smith*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Melissa R. Smith

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on April 8, 2025, all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a true and correct copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3).

*/s/ Melissa R. Smith*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Melissa R. Smith